

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-7 were pending in this application. According to the April 3, 2003 Office Action, claims 1-7 were finally rejected. Applicant has amended claims 1, 3 and 5 and canceled claims 4, 6 and 7. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 4 and 5 are under consideration. Applicant maintains that the amendments do not introduce any new matter. Specifically, support for the terms "separating the solids from the liquid" and "eliminating the remaining solids in suspension from the liquid by flotation and coalescence of colloidal particles" may be found on page 5 of the specification on lines 23-25.

#### **Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Pescher et al. in view of Rohrer and Waldmann.

In response, Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejection. The presently claimed process for treating manure is unique in providing so many advantages without generating harmful by-products. Nonetheless, claim 1 has been amended to replace the term "comprising" with "consisting of" thus excluding any additional steps.

The Examiner stated that the claims of the present patent application differ from Pescher et al. by reciting steps for introducing homogenized liquid manure into a tank, and eliminating liquid from a solid phase by flotation. Claim 1 as amended recites a step of "eliminating remaining solids in suspension from the liquid by flotation," and not "eliminating liquid from a solid phase by flotation." The incorporation of this additional steps is not obvious, as should be evident in view that nobody had achieved a so much optimized process.

The Examiner is also stating that the closest art, with respect to the elimination of solids, is the treatment with inorganic oxidizing and flocculating agents. However, the closest art does not disclose the elimination of solids from a liquid phase by flotation. The elimination of solids from a liquid phase by flotation could be the treatment with inorganic oxidizing and flocculating agents.

Applicant once again reiterates that the homogenization step is very important. It is respectfully submitted that according to Pescher et al the agents are added to the manure, and subsequently stirred. That is, the homogenization is performed simultaneously with respect to the step of stirring the agents into the manure. The same corresponds to the mixing disclosed in Rohrer. Opposite to this, according to the process disclosed in the present patent application, the homogenization is carried out prior to the addition of the polyacrylamide. This specific homogenization step was not disclosed nor suggested prior to the present patent application, and actually has important advantages, as it permits to reduce the quantity of polyacrylamide that is needed and optimizes to the maximum the interaction between the manure and the polyacrylamide.

Summing up, the process is optimized due to the previous homogenization and the concrete sequence of steps. Accordingly, the amount of polyacrylamide is also optimized and reduced to the maximum, so no excess polyacrylamide remains neither in the liquid nor in the solid. It has been experimentally checked that the content of polyacrylamide in the liquid can be considered non-existent, in any case below the critical level of 1 ppm. The measures have been performed by several recognized "Standard Methods." Further, it has been checked that no viscosity is present in the obtained liquid. Actually studies have been performed some time after the filing of the present patent application regarding the optimization of the quantity of polyacrylamide in the treatment of liquid manure: see "solid-liquid separation of flushed swine manure with PAM: effect of wastewater strength," 2002 American Society of Agricultural Engineers ISSN 0001-2351, page 1965, figure 3 (copy enclosed). In addition, applicant also encloses a copy of a report published on the web site [http://www.cals.ncsu.edu/waste\\_mgt](http://www.cals.ncsu.edu/waste_mgt), wherein the advantages of the process according to the present patent application are further explained.

rejection.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition to be allowed and the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. If there are any issues or amendments the Examiner wishes to discuss, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail to Addressee (mail label #EV 342531348US) in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 3, 2003:

Dorothy Jenkins

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

*Dorothy Jenkins*

Signature

October 3, 2003

Date of Signature

WOG/CCA:lac

Respectfully submitted,

*Charles Achkar*

Charles C. Achkar

Registration No.: 43,311

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

## SOLID-LIQUID SEPARATION OF FLUSHED SWINE MANURE WITH PAM: EFFECT OF WASTEWATER STRENGTH

M. B. Vanotti, D. M. C. Rashash, P. G. Hunt

**ABSTRACT.** Organic polymers are useful to increase separation of suspended solids and reduced carbon compounds from liquid swine manure. Along with the solids, there is a capture of the nutrients associated with small particles typical of these wastes. The combined effect increases the amount of materials available for value-added products, reduces the size of process units necessary to treat the liquid, and provides needed alternatives to land application. In this work, we evaluated the effect of solids strength typical of flushing systems on optimum polymer dose requirement and chemical use efficiency. Seven flush samples of varied strength were obtained two weeks apart during a three-month period in a feeder-to-finish operation (22.7 to 100 kg weight) in Bladen County, North Carolina. Treatments consisted of eight rates (0 to 140 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) of polyacrylamide (PAM) followed by screening. Manure samples were characterized for solids, nutrients, and oxygen-demanding compounds amenable for separation. Their concentration varied greatly: 0.4% to 2.5% total solids (TS), 0.1% to 1.6% total suspended solids (TSS), 5.9 to 31.3 g L<sup>-1</sup> chemical oxygen demand (COD), 0.7 to 10.6 g L<sup>-1</sup> biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), 749 to 2442 mg total Kjeldahl (TKN) L<sup>-1</sup>, and 96 to 585 mg total phosphorus (TP) L<sup>-1</sup>. About 87% of P and 45% of N were organic forms, and 80% of TSS were volatile (VSS).

Separation by screening alone was not effective; efficiencies were <20% TSS and VSS, <10% COD and BOD<sub>5</sub>, and <15% N and P. Mixing with PAM before screening substantially increased separation; efficiencies using 140 mg L<sup>-1</sup> rate were 95% TSS and VSS, 69% COD, 59% BOD<sub>5</sub>, and 67% carbonaceous BOD<sub>5</sub>. Inorganic P and N were not reduced by treatment. However, PAM significantly enhanced removal of both organic P and N (92% and 85%, respectively). For every 100 g of TSS removed, there was a 1.32-g reduction of COD, 3.32-g reduction of organic P, and 7.26-g reduction of organic N. The N:P nutrient ratio was improved from 4.79 to 12.11, resulting in a more balanced effluent for crops. It was more economical to treat flushed manure with the higher strength. Changes in optimum PAM rate were small (70 to 110 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and, consequently, polymer usage rate based on solids produced decreased significantly (from 5.34 to 0.75 g PAM/100 g dry solids separated) with increased wastewater strength. Therefore, reduction of total water volume to clean the houses can result in significant savings (about 700%) in total polymer cost. Chemical cost to capture 95% of the suspended solids was estimated to be \$1.37 to \$1.27 per finished pig for liquid waste containing 2% to 2.5% TS, respectively. Our results indicate that PAM technology can be enhanced for better liquid manure handling systems and associated management of nutrients.

**Keywords:** Animal waste, Polymers, Swine wastewater, Solid-liquid separation, Liquid-solids separation, Phosphorus, Manure treatment.

When properly managed, manure can be used to provide nutrients for crops and to build up soil organic matter. On the other hand, improperly managed manure and byproducts can pose a threat to soil, water, and air quality, and human and animal health. Manure nutrients in excess of the assimilative

capacity of land available on farms are an environmental concern often associated with confined livestock production. Although the majority of the manure produced in confined operations is considered collectable, transport of this manure for long distances in a liquid form is not economically feasible. Thus, for farms producing excessive nutrients in liquid form, solid-liquid separation and distribution of solids to nutrient deficient areas could be a viable alternative. For the U.S. as a whole, in 1997 about 8% of the 1500 million pounds of farm-level excess nitrogen exceeded the assimilative capacity with existing crop acreage at the county level (Kellogg et al., 2000). This means that most counties can handle the manure nitrogen generated if the manure is moved within a county. For phosphorus, about 20% of the 929 million pounds of farm level excess phosphorus exceeded the land assimilative capacity with the 1997 crop acreage at the county level (Kellogg et al., 2000), indicating

Article was submitted for review in January 2002; approved for publication by the Structures & Environment Division of ASAE in September 2002.

Mention of a trade name, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the USDA and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products or vendors that also may be suitable.

The authors are Matias B. Vanotti, Soil Scientist, and Patrick G. Hunt, ASAE Member, Soil Scientist, USDA-ARS, Coastal Plains Soil, Water, and Plant Research Center, Florence, South Carolina, and Diana M. C. Rashash, Area Specialist, Animal Environment, Florence, South Carolina.

Westerman (1997) indicated that it is necessary to remove particles smaller than 0.25 mm in order to effectively reduce nutrient and odor-generating compounds contained in liquid manure. Thus, separation of suspended solids from animal wastewater requires chemical treatment to bind together the small particles of solids into larger clumps (Sievers et al., 1994). Organic polymers and inorganic coagulants are both effective (Loehr, 1973; Vanotti and Hunt, 1999). The main advantage of organic polymers is that the amounts needed are about 10 times lower than those of inorganic substances, which minimizes generation of additional solids. Floes obtained using organic polymers have a higher shear strength, i.e., more inter-particle bridging resulting from stronger elastic bonding. In addition, floes obtained using inorganic coagulants are not very compressible; therefore, they take up more space on a filter medium and rapidly increase head loss (SNF Floerger, 2000).

Polyacrylamides are moderate to high molecular weight, long-chained, water-soluble organic polymers. The long polymer molecules destabilize suspended, charged particles by adsorbing onto them and building bridges between several suspended particles. This action results in newer, larger particles (floes) that separate from the liquid and dewater more readily (fig. 1). Polymer flocculants have varied characteristics such as molecular weight and charge type (+, O, -), density distribution of charge (0% to 100%), chain structure, and comonomer that provide them with a variety of chemical performance characteristics and uses. For example, PAM is extensively used as a settling agent for food processing and packing, paper production, mine and municipal wastewater treatment, as a clarifier for sugar extraction and potable water treatment, and as a soil conditioner to reduce irrigation water erosion (Barvenik, 1994). Thus, PAM is widely available and relatively economical for several applications.

Vanotti and Hunt (1999) found that cationic PAMs with moderate charge density (20 to 35 mole %) were more effective than polymers with higher charge density for solid-liquid separation of swine manure and that neutral or anionic types were not useful for this application. Total suspended solids (TSS) removal efficiencies obtained were 90% to 94% when polymers were used in combination with a 1-mm screen but only 5% to 14% with the screen alone

(control). Zhang and Lei (1998) also used cationic polymer and screening to flocculate and separate swine manure with 71% to 76% total solids (TS) removal and 77% to 84% volatile solids (VS) removal compared to only 15% and 17% without polymers, respectively. Both studies used fresh water to obtain diluted manure used in the evaluations. However, manure handling systems in confined swine operations generally recycle treated wastewater to clean the houses. Lagoon liquid and treated effluents contain high dissolved solid concentration, high ionic strength, and elevated electrical conductivity, which are parameters known to affect flocculation. Since the proportion of recycle liquid to fresh manure in flushing systems is high, polymer efficiency may be affected. Solids concentration of flushed manure also varies greatly among production facilities that use flushing systems and within growing stages in the same unit.

Our objective was to evaluate the effect of wastewater strength on separation of solids and nutrients from flushed swine manure in a situation where lagoon liquid recycle is used to clean the houses. In this work, we determined polymer use efficiencies in flushed manure of varied strength and established optimum polymer addition rates. Further, we evaluated relationships between solids separation and removable nutrients and oxygen-demanding compounds, and we determined changes in nitrogen to phosphorus ratio of treated wastewater that can result in improved land application strategies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### SWINE WASTEWATER SAMPLES

Enhanced polymer separation of solids and nutrients from liquid swine manure was evaluated in laboratory experiments using flushed effluent that was collected during a three-month period from a commercial swine operation located in Bladen County, North Carolina. The operation was a feeder-to-finish operation [growing pigs from 22.7 to 100 kg (50 to 220 lb)] and consisted of four swine houses with concrete slatted floors containing 1200 pigs in each house. An under-slat flushing system typical of many livestock farms in the region was used to remove the manure from the buildings. The flushes were discharged into a single-stage anaerobic lagoon for treatment and storage of the wastewater. The treated liquid was subsequently land applied to nearby grass pastures. The water used for flushing the houses was a 100% recycle of the lagoon liquid supernatant. Each flush cycle was repeated five times per day and utilized 3.0 m<sup>3</sup> (800 gal) of lagoon liquid recycle to remove the waste from one side of each house. The flush was operated in an alternating mode, which provided a total of ten flushes per house each day for a total water usage of 30.3 m<sup>3</sup> (8,000 gal) per day for each 1200-pig building. This flushing schedule provided a fixed rate of 25 L wash water per pig per day, which was maintained throughout the production cycle of the pigs. Thus, the resulting total flush volume to animal weight ratio varied greatly with the size of the pigs from about 1110 L per 1000 kg live mass per day (17.8 ft<sup>3</sup>/1,000 lb day) at the




Fig. 1. Polymer flocculated particles.

Table 1. Characteristics of flushed swine manure in a North Carolina finishing operation.<sup>1,2</sup>

Wastewater Parameter	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Run 5	Run 6	Run 7	P-value	CV (%)
Total solids (g/L)	4.30	5.7	5.55	9.72	11.40	12.57	24.8	0.0001	1.9
Total suspended solids (g/L)	1.47	2.19	4.39	8.33	7.21	7.63	15.84	0.0001	3.8
Volatile suspended solids (g/L)	1.13	1.72	3.84	4.17	5.65	6.38	12.59	0.0001	4.8
Chemical oxygen demand (g/L)	5.88	6.03	5.36	11.01	13.45	14.90	31.51	0.0001	8.1
Biological oxygen demand (g/L)	0.79	1.18	1.80	4.80	3.75	4.53	10.58	0.0001	11.7
Total phosphorus (mg/L)	96	111	144	235	350	367	585	0.0001	7.9
Inorganic phosphorus (mg/L)	25	49	27	21	47	89	72	0.0001	5.7
Organic phosphorus (mg/L)	72	63	117	214	304	278	513	0.0001	9.2
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L)	903	855	749	1490	1302	1314	2442	0.0001	6.7
Ammonia-N (mg/L)	552	646	351	403	754	701	1164	0.0001	4.2
Organic-N (mg/L)	350	209	398	587	548	613	1278	0.0001	19.2
pH	7.8	8.1	7.0	8.5	7.9	7.9	8.0	0.0001	2.0
Electrical conductivity (mS/cm)	7.4	8.4	5.1	11.3	9.1	7.8	16.0	0.0001	0.3

<sup>1</sup> Flushes were obtained during a three-month sampling period. Individual pig weight increased from 27.7 kg (50 lb) in run 1 to 100 kg (220 lb) in run 7. Data are average of three replicates.

greatly affected the solids strength of the effluent wastewater during the growing cycle of the pigs (table 1).

A total of eight liquid manure samples was collected approximately two weeks apart during a three-month period to encompass the range of manure solids strength generated during a typical growing cycle; only seven were used. When the experiment started, the pigs weighed approximately 50 kg (110 lb) each. The waste obtained during a 55-day period was used for the first five polymer trials, with the last of these trials corresponding to animals of market size (100 kg or 220 lb). Another sample was obtained in the transition period when houses were about empty, but it was not used for polymer evaluation because strength was very low and similar to the lagoon liquid used to clean the houses. Two more samples were obtained 21 days apart with the new batch of pigs and used for two more polymer trials, representing the initial growth period between 23 kg (50 lb) and 39 kg (85 lb). The sample runs were labeled 1 to 7 following the approximate size of the pigs when samples were taken in the study (50, 85, 110, 140, 165, 190, and 220 lb/pig for runs 1 to 7, respectively).

The liquid manure samples were obtained from a mixing pit that collected the flush from the four houses before it was pumped to a screen, solid-liquid separator. Samples were taken at the beginning, middle, and end of a flushing cycle from the second or third flush in the day using a bucket that held 2 L. The samples were combined to create composite samples that were collected in 18.9-L containers. The composite samples were transported on ice to the ARS Florence laboratory and were kept at 4°C to prevent digestion and dissolution of solids and organic nutrients. Polymer treatment experiments were performed the following day, and water quality determinations of treated and untreated liquid manure were initiated within 48 h of sample arrival. Samples of lagoon supernatant liquid used to flush the buildings were taken from the flush tanks prior to starting each of the flushes in the experiment and also analyzed for water quality characteristics.

#### POLYACRYLAMIDE

(PAM)

acrylamide and methyl chloride quaternary ammonium salt of dimethylaminoethyl acrylate. The comonomer provides the positive charge, and it is quaternized using methyl chloride to provide a permanent charge independent of pH. The Magnifloc G series products contain low amounts of residual acrylamide monomer and are marketed as flocculants and dewatering aids for food processing waste destined for recycling as animal feed, such as poultry wastes and corn processing wastes. Typical uses are to improve solid-liquid separation and reduce sludge volumes to rendering plants and for replacement of inorganic coagulants in dissolved air flotation processes. When used for these purposes and applied up to the indicated maximum dosage levels, they are considered Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) products (Cytex Industries, Inc., 1992; Schechter et al., 1995).

#### FLOCCULATION TREATMENTS

Flocculation and screening separation procedures were used to study the PAM dosage needs of the flushed wastewater with varied strength. The same procedures were applied to each of the seven composite samples using dry formulations of a cationic polymer. They included eight PAM rate treatments that were applied in increments of 20 mg/L, providing a final dosage range of 0 to 140 mg PAM/L. The exception was run 7 where PAM dosage was increased to 180 mg/L to better characterize the response. Composite samples corresponding to each run were transferred into 25-L vessels and stirred at 150 rpm with a high-torque laboratory mixer with a 7.87 cm (3.1 in.) diameter A-100 impeller (LabMaster SI, Lightnin' Co., Rochester, N.Y.) to obtain homogeneous liquid manure subsamples. A peristaltic pump was used to transfer subsamples from the mixing vessel into eight 1-L jars used for the various PAM rate treatments.

After the jars were filled to the 500-mL mark with the well-mixed liquid manure sample, the chemical treatments were added to the wastewater with a syringe using 0.5% primary stocks (WERE, 1993). The stocks were prepared in stirred beakers with distilled water by first turning the bench stirrer (6.0 cm diameter impeller) to maximum speed (approximately 300 rpm) then adding the chemical.

polymer injection, the samples were mixed with a bench stirrer at 100 rpm for 1 min and then at 40 rpm for about 2 min. The treated samples were passed through a perforated screen with openings of 1.0 mm and collected in beakers. Treatment performance was determined by the difference between the solids, nutrient, and COD concentrations in the effluent passing the screen and those in the initial sample before PAM application and screening. The separation experiments were repeated three times for each of the seven runs.

Solids analyses of the treated and untreated liquid samples included total solids (TS), total suspended solids (TSS), and volatile suspended solids (VSS). Total solids are the solids remaining after evaporation of a sample to constant weight at 105°C and include TSS and dissolved solids (DS). Total suspended solids (TSS) are the solids portion retained on a glass microfibre filter (Whatman grade 934-AH, Whatman, Inc., Clifton, N.J.) after filtration and drying to constant weight at 105°C, while volatile suspended solids (VSS) is the fraction of the TSS that was lost on ignition in a muffle furnace at 500°C for 15 min. Therefore, the TSS and VSS are measurements of the insoluble total and volatile solids that are removable by separation. The soluble fraction or dissolved solids can be determined by subtracting the TSS from the TS.

Chemical analyses consisted of pH, electrical conductivity (EC), chemical oxygen demand (COD), 5-d biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), 5-d carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (CBOD<sub>5</sub>), ammonia-N (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), total Kjeldahl N (TKN), orthophosphate-P (o-PO<sub>4</sub>), and total P (TP). All the analyses were done according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1998). For COD, we used the closed reflux, colorimetric method (Standard Method 5220 D). Carbonaceous BOD<sub>5</sub> was determined using the 5-Day BOD test and nitrification inhibition with 2-chloro-6-(trichloro methyl) pyridine (TCMP) (Standard Method 5210 B). The inorganic o-PO<sub>4</sub> fraction, also termed "reactive P," was determined by the automated ascorbic acid method (Standard Method 4500-P F) after filtration through a 0.45-µm membrane filter (Gelman type Supor-450, Pall Corp., Ann Arbor, Mich.). The same filtrate was used to measure NH<sub>3</sub>-N by the automated phenate method (Standard Method 4500-NH<sub>3</sub> G). Total P and TKN were determined using the ascorbic acid method and the phenate method, respectively, adapted to digested extracts (Technicon Instruments Corp., 1977). The organic P fraction is the difference between total P and o-PO<sub>4</sub> analyses and includes condensed and organically bound phosphates. The organic N fraction is the difference between Kjeldahl N and ammonia-N determinations. Nitrate-N was also measured in lagoon and flush samples but was not detected in any of the samples.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were subjected to analysis of variance to evaluate PAM treatment rate effects and changes in wastewater characteristics among runs (SAS, 1988). Significant differences among treatment means were evaluated using a least significant difference (LSD) test at the 5% level. Trends and

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### REMOVABLE SOLIDS AND NUTRIENTS

The solids and nutrient contents of the flushed manure during the various runs are shown in table 1. Manure samples used for run 1 through 7 correspond to flushes obtained periodically throughout the 50 to 220 lb weight range typical of feeder-to-finish production. Total solids (TS) strength of the flushes increased greatly during the production cycle, from 0.4% at the beginning of the cycle to a maximum of 2.5% at the end of the cycle. The range of TS obtained is consistent with values of 0.5% to 2% described for flush systems in the U.S. (Chastain et al., 1999). The total suspended solids fraction also varied significantly (from 0.15% to 1.6%) during the same period. On the average, 58% of the TS were suspended and removable by solid-liquid separation, and 80% of the suspended solids (TSS) were volatile solids (VSS). The TSS concentration was highly correlated with both COD concentration ( $r = 0.98$ ) and BOD<sub>5</sub> concentration ( $r = 0.97$ ). Therefore, removal of these constituents in significant quantities is linked to the successful separation of suspended particles from the liquid manure.

Nutrient concentration in the liquid manure also varied significantly among runs, with the highest TKN and TP concentration at the end of the production cycle (run 7). The soluble reactive P represented, on average, a small fraction (17%) of the total P in the flushes and was not significantly correlated ( $p = 0.14$ ) with either TSS or TS. On the other hand, the organic P fraction made up a large proportion of the TP (87%), and this fraction was significantly ( $p = 0.001$ ) correlated with TSS ( $r = 0.98$ ) and TS ( $r = 0.97$ ). For N, the ammonia fraction in the flushes comprised 55% of the TKN, while the organic N fraction comprised 45% of the total N. Changes in organic N concentration in the manure samples were closely associated ( $r = 0.97$ ) with the variation in TSS. These results indicate that most of the organic N and P in liquid swine manure are contained in particulate solids and amenable to removal by solid-liquid separation.

The lagoon liquid used for flushing the houses contained a high TKN concentration that showed little variation, throughout the three-month study (range 618 to 798 mg/L), about 79% of the total N was in the form of ammonia (table 2). The high ammonia in the cleaning water most likely decreased the proportion of organic N to total N in the flushes compared to a system where cleaner recycled water is used. Other soluble compounds were also present in high amounts in the recycle liquid; the average dissolved solids concentration was 2220 mg/L, and electrical conductivity was 6.8 mS/cm (table 2). Previous work on evaluation of PAM separation of liquid swine manure (Vanotti and Hunt, 1999; Zhang and Lei, 1998) used fresh-water flush or tap-water dilutions. The manure samples used in this work were obtained using cleaning water with high dissolved solids and ionic strength representative of flushing systems that use a recycling loop to clean the houses.

### REMOVAL OF SOLIDS AND NUTRIENTS BY SCREENING

Homogenized waste from each run was passed through a

Table 2. Wastewater strength of lagoon liquid used to flush the houses compared to that of flushed manure.<sup>a</sup>

	Lagoon Liquid (1)	Flushed Manure (2)	Ratio (2)/(1)
Total solids (g/L)	3.32 (0.19)	10.89 (2.58)	3.28
Total suspended solids (g/L)	0.60 (0.03)	6.29 (1.82)	10.48
Volatile suspended solids (g/L)	0.45 (0.02)	5.07 (1.44)	11.02
Chemical oxygen demand (g/L)	2.04 (0.23)	12.56 (3.45)	6.16
Biological oxygen demand (g/L)	0.38 (0.05)	3.91 (1.27)	10.29
Total phosphorus (mg/L)	66 (7)	270 (67)	4.09
Inorganic phosphorus (mg/L)	37 (4)	47 (13)	1.27
Organic phosphorus (mg/L)	29 (7)	223 (61)	7.69
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L)	673 (28)	1293 (218)	1.92
Ammonia-N (mg/L)	528 (21)	724 (98)	1.37
Organic-N (mg/L)	144 (37)	569 (130)	3.95
pH	8.2 (0.1)	7.9 (0.2)	0.96
Electrical conductivity (mS/cm)	6.8 (0.2)	9.3 (1.3)	0.73

<sup>a</sup> Means (SE) of seven runs. Individual run data for flushed manure are listed in table 1. Strength of lagoon liquid recycle varied little among runs, as shown by smaller SE.

Table 3. Reduction in concentration of suspended solids, COD, BOD<sub>5</sub>, and nutrients in flushed swine manure by a screen separator.<sup>a</sup>

	Flushed Manure	After 1-mm Screen	Reduction in Concentration (%)
Total suspended solids (g/L)	6.29	5.33	15.3
Volatile suspended solids (g/L)	5.07	4.21	15.9
Chemical oxygen demand (g/L)	12.56	11.99	4.8
Biological oxygen demand (g/L)	3.91	3.64	6.8
Total phosphorus (mg/L)	270	243	10.0
Organic phosphorus (mg/L)	223	200	10.3
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L)	1293	1200	7.2
Organic-N (mg/L)	569	497	12.7

<sup>a</sup> Means of seven runs and three replicates.

treatment *per se* was not effective in removing substantial amounts of suspended solids, oxygen demanding compounds, or nutrients from the flushed manure. Separation efficiencies were consistently low among the various runs. Furthermore, they did not increase over the range of flushwater solids strengths (0.4% to 2.5% TS) observed during this study (data not shown). On average, screening removed less than 20% of the suspended and volatile solids, less than 15% of the N and P, and less than 10% of the COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> (table 3). Hegg et al. (1981) and Holmberg et al. (1983) also reported low separation efficiencies of swine slurries with screens. Hegg et al. (1981) obtained removal efficiencies of 4% for TS and 9% for COD using a rotating screen of a 0.750-mm opening and slurries containing 2.4% TS. The removal efficiency increased to 8% and 16%, respectively, with slurries of about twice the strength (4.12% TS). For vibrating screens and 1.5% to 2.9% TS slurries, the percent TS removal they obtained ranged from 3% on a 1.574-mm screen to 10% on a 0.840-mm screen. Similarly, Holmberg et al. (1983) concluded that screening is not a useful practice for anaerobic digestion of flushed swine manure since a large portion of the reduced carbon remains in the liquid fraction. On the basis of COD removal, their data showed that only about 21% of the methane producing material is retained by a 0.840-mm screen (table 3).

shown in table 4. The data indicate that most of the suspended solids (80%), N (78%), and P (93%) that are potentially removable by phase separation were contained in very fine particles that passed a 0.297-mm screen. This indicates that screens of 0.5 to 1.6 mm opening size commonly used in mechanical screen-type commercial separators are not adequate with liquid swine manure. On the other hand, using screens or filters with small pore opening size (i.e., <0.2 mm) is difficult due to plugging with small particles and swine hair. These considerations illustrate the need for chemical flocculation treatment to enhance mechanical separation. With flocculation, the effective particle size is increased by agglomeration of small particles into a larger particle or floc (fig. 1). This larger size not only enhances solids retention by screens and separation of colloidal particles by settling (Vanotti and Hunt, 1999; Zhang and Lei, 1998) but also prevents clogging of finer filters such as sand filter beds (Vanotti et al., 2001).

To illustrate problems of poor separation with screens, we describe the following results obtained at the same production unit (4800 pigs) where the samples were obtained. In this unit, the waste liquid was passed through a stationary, inclined separator with a 1/16 in. screen before discharge into a lagoon (the study samples were taken from a homogenization pit before going through this screen). The farm contained two other identical units of 4800 pigs, each discharging into their own lagoon but without a screen solids separator. The TKN, TP, and COD concentrations in the test and control lagoons were monitored during a 10-month period (Rashash et al., 1999). Results showed the lagoon that received screened effluent contained approximately 13% less TKN and 12% less TP than did the control lagoons; for COD, the differences were not significant. This case supports our conclusion that for flushed swine manure, screening alone does not provide substantial reduction of organic and nutrient loads to either existing lagoons or other treatment processes.

#### ENHANCED SOLID-LIQUID SEPARATION WITH PAM

Table 5 shows the effect of PAM dosage on average TSS, VSS, COD, and BOD<sub>5</sub> removal in the lagoon (fig. 2).



Table 4. Retention of suspended solids and nutrients in flushed swine manure using screens of various sizes.<sup>[a]</sup>

Screen Size <sup>[b]</sup>	Solids		TKN		TP	
	Amount Retained (g/L)	Fraction of TSS (%)	Amount Retained (mg/L)	Fraction of Non-soluble N (%)	Amount Retained (mg/L)	Fraction of Non-soluble P (%)
3,360 mm	0.41	2.6	38	2.7	3.3	0.6
1,588 mm	1.01	6.4	100	5.8	11.1	2.2
1,000 mm	1.66	10.8	152	11.9	17.2	3.4
0.794 mm	2.18	13.8	199	15.6	21.5	4.3
0.590 mm	2.41	15.2	219	17.1	24.7	4.8
0.297 mm	3.11	19.6	278	21.8	38.0	7.4
<0.297 mm <sup>[c]</sup>						
Suspended	12.75	80.4	1060	78.2	475.4	92.6
Dissolved	9.03		1164		71.7	
Initial sample <sup>[d]</sup>						
Total suspended	15.84	100.0	1278	100.0	513.4	100.0
Total concentration	24.87		2442		585.1	

[a] Data are average of three replicate tests performed on run 7 effluent.

[b] 3,360, 1,000, 0.590, and 0.297 mm size screens are ASTM standard wire screen sieves with numbers 6, 18, 30, and 50, respectively. 1,588 and 0.794 mm size screens are stainless steel screens with round perforations of 1/16 and 1/32 in., respectively, commonly used in commercial screen separators.

[c] <0.297 mm is the fraction passing through this screen. This fraction was then filtered with a glass microfibre filter to separate between suspended and dissolved (soluble) components.

[d] Initial sample is the homogenized flushed manure before screening (table 1)


Table 5. Removal of solids, COD, and BOD<sub>5</sub> from flushed swine manure by PAM flocculation and screening.<sup>[a]</sup>

Polymer Rate (mg/L)	TSS		VSS		COD		BOD <sub>5</sub>	
	Conc. <sup>[b]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[c]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[b]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[c]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[b]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[c]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[b]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[c]</sup> (%)
0	5.33 a	15	4.21 a	17	11.99 a	5	3.64 a	7
20	4.49 b	29	3.52 b	31	10.15 b	19	2.88 b	28
40	3.27 c	48	2.34 c	54	8.97 c	29	2.37 c	39
60	1.99 d	68	1.56 d	69	6.60 d	47	2.02 d	48
80	1.31 de	79	1.08 de	79	5.12 e	59	1.89 de	52
100	0.71 ef	89	0.59 ef	88	4.41 f	65	1.79 ef	54
120	0.43 f	93	0.35 f	93	4.00 f	68	1.73 ef	56
140	0.34 f	95	0.27 f	95	3.87 f	69	1.60 f	59

[a] Average of seven runs and three replicates. Different letters in columns indicate significant differences among means at  $P \leq 0.05$ . TSS = total suspended solids, VSS = volatile suspended solids, COD = chemical oxygen demand, BOD<sub>5</sub> = 5-day biological oxygen demand.

[b] Concentration in manure liquid passing 1-mm screen after flocculation treatment.

[c] Removal efficiency relative to concentration of flushed manure before chemical treatment and screening (table 2).



because preliminary tests (data not shown) indicated that effectiveness of the 1-mm screen to retain flocs was similar to effectiveness of a 0.8-mm size (95% and 96% TSS efficiency, respectively). However, the use of a larger screen (1.6 mm) greatly decreased performance (67% efficiency). Volatile suspended solids (VSS) were highly correlated with TSS ( $r = 0.996$ , fig. 2) and, therefore, the removal efficiencies of both fractions were almost identical (table 5). Averaged across all runs and treatments ( $n = 67$ ), VSS comprised 78.6% of TSS ( $\pm 0.5\%$ ).

Flocculation intensity increased linearly with increasing PAM rates up to an optimum level of "total flocculation" (WERF, 1993), where further increases of polymer dosage had little (non significant) effect on suspended solids concentration in the separated effluent. The response was different for the various wastewater samples, as revealed by a significant waste strength and composition effect (table 1).

of polymer use to remove suspended solids from the more concentrated wastewater (fig. 3).

A linear plateau spline function was fitted to these data to determine optimum PAM application rates and maximum TSS separation at various wastewater strengths using non-linear least square iteration and a Gauss-Newton method (Freund and Littell, 1991). These parameters were then used to determine TSS removal efficiency and polymer efficiency based on TSS removal at optimum dosage. Results of these calculations are presented in table 6. Optimum PAM application rates did not change in the same proportion as TSS concentration. For example, TSS concentration varied 10-fold (1.5 to 15.8 g/L), while optimum polymer application rates varied less than 1 fold (from about 70 to 110 mg/L). There was a significant trend of increased polymer need with increased total solids concentration (optimum polymer rate =  $57 \pm 2.17$  TS,  $R^2 = 0.95$ ). However, these changes in dosage requirements were small, in the order of about 11 mg/L PAM increase per 5 g/L TS increase for a range of about 0.5% to 2.5% TS found in the study. At optimum PAM rates, TSS removal efficiencies ranged from 87% to 96% (table 6).

Corresponding PAM use efficiencies (g TSS removed/g PAM) increased greatly from 18.7 to 133.6 with increased TS concentration (fig. 4). Polymer usage was also calculated in

terms of lb of polymer per ton of dry solids produced to compare with other uses. At optimum flocculation, the weight of polymer used to produce a ton of dry solids ranged between 107 to 15 lb (5.34% to 0.75% TSS produced, table 6), and it was greatly affected by manure strength. The polymer usage rate obtained when the liquid swine manure had medium to high strength (TS > 0.75%, runs 3 to 7) was less than 40 lb/ton (<2%). This is within the range of typical wastewater and industrial applications (8 to 50 lb/ton). As explained in the following section, usage rates obtained with more dilute manure (TS < 0.60%, runs 1 and 2) were excessively high and costly.

#### ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Based on the results of polymer use efficiency obtained in this study (fig. 4), it is more economical to use PAM to remove solids and nutrients from swine manure wastewater when strength is higher in the range of normal operations. The chemical cost to flocculate suspended solids from flushed swine manure was calculated on the basis of treating the effluent from a 1000-head finishing operation growing pigs from 22.7 to 100 kg (50 to 220 lb). On average, each pig weighs 61.4 kg (135 lb). The amount of PAM required for 1000 pigs is 2.21 kg/day with the following conditions:




Figure 3. Capture of total suspended solids (TSS) from flushed swine manure using PAM treatment and screening (table 4). Corresponding optimum PAM application rates, maximum TSS removal, and TSS removal efficiencies are listed in table 6. Each point is the mean of three replicates.

Table 6. Changes in polymer use efficiency with wastewater strength.

Run	TSS Conc. (g/L)	Regression Equation <sup>a</sup>		Optimum PAM Rate (mg/L)	Max. TSS Removed <sup>b</sup> (g/L)	TSS Removal Efficiency <sup>b</sup> (%)	Polymer Use Efficiency <sup>c,d</sup> (g solids/g polymer)	Polymer Usage Rate <sup>e</sup>	
		Slope (g/mg)	Intercept					(%)	(lb/ton)
1	1.47	0.0201	-0.001	68	1.23	87	18.7	5.34	107
2	2.19	0.0291	-0.001	68	1.95	89	28.6	3.50	70
3	4.39	0.0234	-0.001	71	3.21	96	59.1	1.68	34
4	5.33	0.0355	-0.001	82	4.99	94	61.0	1.53	32
5	7.21	0.0737	-0.001	87	6.69	93	77.2	1.3	26
6	9.03	0.0682	-0.001	81	7.33	93	90.3	1.21	24
7	15.84	0.1464	-0.001	111	14.81	94	133.6	0.75	15




Figure 4. Effect of wastewater strength on polymer efficiency. Efficiency calculations are provided in table 6.


- Polymer usage rate of 0.75% (0.75 g polymer/100 g dry solids produced) associated with high-strength flushes (2.5% TS, run 7).
- TSS removal efficiency of 95%.
- TSS production rate of 5.05 kg/1000 kg live mass/day (NRCS, 1992).

The cost of PAM is approximately \$4.41/kg for dry formulations (\$2.00/lb). This results in a daily chemical cost of \$9.75/1000 pigs. For an operation that grows 2.8 groups of pigs per year, the annual chemical cost for the 1000-head operation is \$3,557, and the chemical cost per finished pig is \$1.27. This contrasts with the calculated chemical cost associated with a very diluted flush (0.4% TS, run 1). With a polymer usage rate of 5.34% (fig. 4), the chemical cost per finished pig is \$9.04, which is about seven times more expensive than treating higher strength waste. The flushing schedule used at the production facility provided a fixed rate of 25 L wash water per pig per day, which was maintained throughout the production cycle of the pigs. Thus, adjustments in management, such as reducing the frequency of flushing in periods when manure production is low so that a high wastewater strength is maintained, can result in significant savings in total polymer cost for separation.

The technology is also attractive for pit-recharge systems that are gaining popularity over flush systems because less water volume is required to clean the building. A pit-recharge system is typically emptied every five to seven days, resulting in a TS concentration that varies from 1.5% to 2.5% (Chastain et al., 1999). The chemical cost for this situation can be calculated based on polymer efficiency predicted by the equation in figure 4. This calculation indicates that treatment of liquid manure with 2% TS would be associated with a polymer efficiency of 123 g dry solids/g polymer (0.81% polymer usage rate) and a corresponding chemical cost of \$1.37 per finished pig. An important consideration for pit-recharge systems may be the potential for dissolution of solids in the pit, which can affect separation efficiency. Zhu et al. (2000) studied the problem and concluded that a 5-day storage should be satisfactory to maintain integrity of particles less than 100 µm for separation purposes.

to 59%, respectively (table 5). The COD is used as a measure of the oxygen equivalent of the organic matter content of a sample that is susceptible to oxidation by a strong chemical oxidant. The BOD<sub>5</sub> test measures the oxygen utilized during a 5-day incubation period for the biochemical degradation of organic material (carbonaceous demand) plus the oxygen used to oxidize inorganic materials (APHA, 1998). The BOD determination is commonly used to determine the relative oxygen requirements of wastewaters, effluents, and polluted waters, and it is also applied to measure waste loadings to treatment plants and efficiency of these treatments. These two indicators of oxygen-demanding substances present in wastewater are closely associated; the empirical relationship ( $R^2 = 0.93$ ) that we found for the liquid swine manure samples was  $1 \text{ g COD} = 0.341 \text{ g BOD} (\pm 0.011)$  (fig. 5). Although overall removal efficiencies were not as high as those obtained with suspended solids, as shown in fig. 6, most of the variation in COD (93%) observed after treatment was explained by the removal of flocculated suspended particles. On average, every 1 g of TSS separated from the liquid by treatment was associated with a 1.32-g reduction of COD ( $\pm 0.03$ ) and with a 0.394-g reduction of BOD<sub>5</sub> ( $\pm 0.015$ ). Because of the high concentration of soluble N (ammonia), which is not affected by polymer treatment, a fraction of the COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> measured in the treated effluent was due to ammonia oxidation (nitrification). We determined the effect of soluble N by measuring CBO<sub>5</sub> and comparing with corresponding BOD<sub>5</sub> values so that the difference is the ammonia contribution. Measurements were done in the effluent of all treatments in five of the seven runs. Results showed that most of the variation in BOD<sub>5</sub> in the experiments was due to removal of carbonaceous materials (fig. 7). Overall removal efficiencies were higher for CBO<sub>5</sub> than BOD<sub>5</sub>; they increased from 6% in the control treatment (PAM = 0 mg/L) to 67% at the highest PAM rate. The oxygen demand of ammonia during the 5-d incubation test (NBOD<sub>5</sub>) was consistent among PAM treatments and averaged 520 mg/L ( $\pm 74$ ).

Large COD reduction in the liquid effluent before discharge into a treatment lagoon is an important consideration to reduce odor from existing anaerobic lagoons. For






Figure 6. Relationship between the removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and removal of total suspended solids (TSS) by PAM treatment and screening. Data include eight PAM rate treatments and seven runs. Dashed lines indicate 95% CI for an individual prediction. Each point is the mean of three replicates.




Figure 7. Relationship between  $BOD_5$  and carbonaceous  $BOD_5$  in the treated effluent. Data include eight PAM rate treatments and five flushed manure samples. Dashed lines indicate 95% CI. Each point is the mean of three replicates.

example, Humenik and Overcash (1976) indicate that odor is minimal with loading rates below 60 g of COD per  $m^3$  of lagoon per day. This is approximately 40% to 76% lower than typical organic loads of 150 to 250 g COD  $m^3$  d used for lagoon design criteria (Barton, 1997). However, the minimal odor value is comparable to COD reduction levels obtained with PAM treatment. Our results indicate that PAM flocculation can substantially increase capture of energy-yielding feedstock for anaerobic digestion reactors, methane production, or burning in gasification processes, and that these materials can be effectively retained even with a relatively large screen (1-mm opening). These results are also significant to the swine producer who wishes to incorporate biological N removal processes for the purpose of ammonia control. By capturing the suspended particles, most of the volatile and oxygen-demanding organic compounds are

#### ENHANCED NUTRIENT SEPARATION WITH PAM

Polymer treatment followed by screening significantly enhanced the removal efficiency of total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TKN) from 10% to 74% and from 7% to 35%, respectively, and significantly decreased nutrient concentration in the liquid phase (table 7). The effect of enhanced solids separation on nutrient removal was totally different for organic and inorganic compounds. The inorganic N and P fractions were not affected by PAM treatment;  $o$ - $PO_4$ -P treatment means varied from 43 to 51 mg/L, and  $NH_3$ -N varied from 703 to 755 mg/L. This was also true for electrical conductivity (means ranged from 8.80 to 8.97 mS/cm) and pH (means varied from 7.82 to 7.97). On the other hand, PAM was very effective in the capture of organic nutrients contained in the small particles. PAM treatment increased removal efficiency of organic P and N from 10% to 92% and from 13% to 85%, respectively (table 7). Comparison of these values with suspended solids performance (table 5) indicates that for about 1% of TSS or VSS captured by PAM flocculation, a similar percentage of organic N or P is removed from the effluent. This indicates that organic nutrients in flushed effluent were mostly contained in suspended manure particles, which in turn were efficiently separated from the liquid by flocculation treatment.

The relationship for all treatments and runs between organic N and P separation and suspended solids separation as affected by PAM is shown in fig. 8. On average, 7.26 g of organic N (SE = 0.16) and 3.32 g of organic P (SE = 0.07) were removed from the liquid phase for every 100 g of TSS separated by PAM flocculation and screening. This enhanced removal of organic nutrients significantly improved the overall N:P ratio of the effluent. This is because, compared to N, a much larger fraction of total P in manure is made of organic compounds (for example, 87% vs. 45%, table 1). Since only the nutrients contained in the organic pool are affected by flocculation/separation treatment, separation of this N and P into the solid fraction effectively changes the N:P ratio of the liquid phase. As shown in table 7, effluent N:P ratios increased more than 100% with polymer treatment, from <5:1 to >11:1.

A higher N:P ratio results in a more balanced effluent from the point of view of crop nutrient needs. The implications are large; one of the main problems in sustainability of animal production is the imbalance between N and P in the waste. For example, the mean N:P ratio (4:1) in manure is generally lower than the mean N:P ratio (8:1) taken up by major grain and hay crops (USDA, 2001). Therefore, when manure is applied based on N, there is a P buildup in soil and increased potential for P losses through runoff and eutrophication of surface waters (Heathwaite et al., 2000; USEPA, 2001). Our results indicate that with a polymer-enhanced separation process, the treated wastewater is land applied with reduced total P loads.

#### CONCLUSIONS

On-farm, water-based, polymer-enhanced separation

Table 7. Removal of phosphorus and nitrogen from flushed swine manure by PAM flocculation and screening.<sup>a,b</sup>

Polymer Rate (mg/L)	TP		Organic P		TKN		Organic N		N/P Ratio <sup>c,d</sup>
	Conc. <sup>[a]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[b]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[a]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[b]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[a]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[b]</sup> (%)	Conc. <sup>[a]</sup> (g/L)	Removal Efficiency <sup>[b]</sup> (%)	
0	243 a	10	201 a	10	1200 a	7	497 a	13	4.94
20	214 b	21	177 a	21	1129 b	11	437 b	13	5.28
40	167 c	38	124 b	44	1061 c	18	332 c	41	6.35
60	128 d	53	87 c	61	999 d	21	291 d	46	7.80
80	99 e	63	52 d	77	960 e	24	221 e	61	9.70
100	77 f	71	28 e	87	880 f	34	120 f	79	11.43
120	71 f	74	20 e	91	860 f g	37	95 f	83	12.11
140	71 f	74	18 e	92	841 g	37	85 f	85	11.82

[a] Average of seven runs and three replicates. Different letters in columns indicate significant differences among means at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

[b] Nutrient concentration in liquid manure passing 1-mm screen after flocculation treatment.

[c] Removal efficiency relative to concentration before chemical treatment and screening (table 2).

[d] N/P ratio = TKN concentration/TP concentration. Average N/P ratio for initial sample was 4.79.




Figure 8. Relationship between the removal of (a) organic phosphorus or (b) organic nitrogen and the removal of total suspended solids (TSS) by PAM treatment and screening. Data include eight PAM rate treatments and seven swine waste effluent samples from a finishing operation. Dashed lines indicate 95% CI for an individual prediction. Each point is the mean of three replicates.

geographic areas. These spatial distribution problems could be solved if the nutrients were separated from the wastewater.

fractions that are potentially removable by phase separation were contained in particles less than 0.3 mm in size. Organic polymers offer a solution to this problem because they flocculate suspended and colloidal solids and, therefore, screening or filters after flocculation can capture the nutrients associated with small particles typical of these wastes.

Manure handling systems in confined operations often use large volumes of water to clean the houses. We evaluated the effect of typical flush-system solids strengths on both optimum polymer dose requirement and chemical use efficiency. We found that it was more efficient and economical to treat the higher strength flushed manure, over the range of 0.4% to 2.5% TS concentration that was evaluated. This study also showed that reduction of water volume to clean the houses can result in significant savings (about 700%) in total polymer cost by providing a higher strength waste for flocculation. Chemical cost was estimated to be \$1.37 to \$1.27 per finished pig for liquid waste containing 2% to 2.5% TS.

Flocculation treatment with PAM before screening substantially increased separation efficiency of TSS (95%), VSS (95%), COD (69%), and carbon BOD<sub>5</sub> (67%). For every 100 g of TSS removed, there was a 1.32-g reduction of COD, 3.32-g reduction of organic P, and 7.26-g reduction of organic N. PAM effectively removed organic nutrients (92% P and 85% N) but had no effect on the dissolved ammonia and phosphate fractions. The selective separation of the nutrients (organic vs. dissolved) increased the N/P ratio of the effluent (mean 4.8 to 12.1), which resulted in a more balanced effluent for crop nutrient needs. This could help solve current problems of excess phosphorus accumulation in soils of wastewater spray fields.

Collectively, these findings indicate that:

- Polymer-enhanced solid-liquid separation of flushed swine manure is more efficient with higher solids content wastewater.
- When this technology is integrated into a liquid manure handling system, it has the potential to substantially increase capture of materials with potential to improve

improved management of nutrients in areas where swine production is concentrated. Such secondary benefits need to be duly considered when determining the economic costs of the technology.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was part of USDA-ARS National Program 206: Manure and Byproduct Utilization; CRIS Project 6657-13630-001-000 "Improved animal manure treatment methods for enhanced water quality." It was partially funded by NCSU Animal and Poultry Waste Management Center through technology demonstration project "Evaluation of a polymer enhanced swine solids separation." The authors are grateful to Star Maready (NCCES, Duplin Co.) and George Upton (NCCES, Sampson Co.) for helping with manure sampling and field coordination and to Laura Slice, Krista Mundt, and April Ellison (USDA-ARS, Florence) for their help with laboratory testing and analyses.

#### REFERENCES

- APHA. 1998. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 20th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation.
- Barvenik, F. W. 1994. Polyacrylamide characteristics related to soil applications. *Soil Science* 158: 235-243.
- Becker, H. 2001. Coping with swine manure: State-of-the-art technology cleans up wastewater from large-scale animal production. *Agric. Research* 49(7): 18-19. Beltsville, Md.: USDA-ARS.
- Burton, C. H. 1997. *Manure Management: Treatment Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture*. Silsoe, Bedford, U.K.: Silsoe Research Institute.
- Chastain, J. P., J. J. Camberato, J. E. Albrecht, and J. Adams. 1999. Swine manure production and nutrient content. Chapter 3 in *South Carolina Confined Animal Manure Managers Certification Program*, 1-17. Clemson, S.C.: Clemson University.
- Cytec Industries, Inc. 1992. Magnifloc G Series: Flocculants for food processing waste. WTT-1053-Information sheet. West Paterson, N.J.: Cytec Industries, Inc.
- Draper, N. R., and H. Smith. 1981. *Applied Regression Analysis*. New York, N.Y.: John Wiley and Sons.
- Freund, R. J., and R. C. Littell. 1991. *SAS System for Regression*. 2nd ed. Cary, N.C.: SAS Institute, Inc.
- Heathwaite, L., A. Sharpley, and W. Gburek. 2000. A conceptual approach for integrating phosphorus and nitrogen management at watershed scales. *J. Environ. Qual.* 29(1): 158-166.
- Hogg, R. O., R. E. Larson, and J. A. Moore. 1981. Mechanical liquid-solid separation in beef, dairy, and swine waste slurries. *Trans. ASAE* 24(1): 159-163.
- Holmberg, K. D., D. T. Hill, T. J. Prince, and N. J. Van Dyke. 1983. Potential of solid-liquid separation of swine wastes for methane production. *Trans. ASAE* 26(6): 1803-1807.
- Humenik, R. J., and M. R. Overcash. 1976. Design criteria for swine waste treatment systems. EPA Project No. 600/2-76-233. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Kellog, R. L., C. H. Funder, D. C. Mottritt, and N. Gollehon. 2000. Manure nutrients relative to the capacity of cropland and pastureland to assimilate nutrients: Spatial and temporal trends for the United States. NRCS and ERS GSA Publ. No. nps00-0579. Washington, D.C.: USDA.
- Loehr, R. C. 1973. Development and demonstration of nutrient removal from animal wastes. EPA Report No. R2-73-095. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- NRCS. 1992. Table 4-11: Swine waste characterization—as excreted. In *Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook, Part 651*. Washington, D.C.: USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (formerly SCS).
- Rashash, D. M. C., M. B. Vanotti, and K. Zering. 1999. Field-scale evaluation of two lagoon-based swine waste treatment alternatives. In *Proc. Animal Waste Management Symposium*, 22-30, 27-28 Jan. Cary, N.C.: North Carolina State University.
- SAS. 1988. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*. Ver. 6.03. Cary, N.C.: SAS Institute, Inc.
- Schlechter, L., R. Deskin, A. Essensfeld, B. Bernard, M. Friedman, and E. Grube. 1995. Evaluation of the toxicological risk associated with the use of polyacrylamides in the recovery of nutrients from food processing waste (II). *J. Am. College of Toxicology* 14(1): 34-39.
- Sievers, D. M., M. W. Jenner, and M. Hanna. 1994. Treatment of dilute manure wastewaters by chemical coagulation. *Trans. ASAE* 37(2): 597-601.
- SNF Floenger. 2000. Water Soluble Polymers—Flocculation Coagulation. 42028 Saint-Etienne, Cedex 1, France: SNF S.A.
- Technicon Instruments Corp. 1977. Individual/simultaneous determination of nitrogen and/or phosphorus in BD acid digests (dialyzer). Industrial method 337-74W/B. Tarrytown, N.Y.: Technicon Instruments Corp.
- USDA. 2001. *Comprehensive Nutrient Management Planning Technical Guidance*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, 1 Dec. 2000. Washington, D.C.: USDA.
- USEPA. 2001. Proposed regulations to address water pollution from concentrated animal feeding operations. EPA 833-F-00-016. Washington, D.C.: Environmental Protection Agency.
- Vanotti, M. B., and Hunt, P. G. 1999. Solids and nutrient removal from flushed swine manure using polyacrylamides. *Trans. ASAE* 42(6): 1833-1840.
- Vanotti, M. B., J. M. Rice, P. G. Hunt, F. J. Humenik, A. Q. Ellison, C. A. Baird, P. Millner, and A. A. Szogi. 2001. Evaluation of polymer solids separation, nitrification-denitrification, and soluble phosphorus removal system for treating swine manure. In *Proc. Int'l. Symposium Addressing Animal Production and Environmental Issues*, 809-812, 3-5 Oct. Research Triangle Park, N.C.: North Carolina State University.
- WEIR. 1993. *Guidance Manual for Polymer Selection in Wastewater Treatment Plants*. Project 91-ISP-5. Alexandria, VA: Water Environment Research Foundation.
- Zhang, R. H., and P. W. Westerman. 1997. Solids-liquid separation of animal manure for odor control and nutrient management. *Applied Eng. in Agric.* 13(5): 657-664.
- Zhang, R. H., and F. Lei. 1998. Chemical treatment of animal manure for solid-liquid separation. *Trans. ASAE* 41(4): 1103-1108.
- Zhu, J., P. M. Ndegwa, and A. Luo. 2000. Changes in swine manure solids during storage may affect separation efficiency. *Applied Eng. in Agric.* 16(5): 571-575.

**Evaluation of Environmental Superior Technology: Swine Waste  
Treatment System for Elimination of Lagoons, Reduced  
Environmental Impact, and Improved Water Quality.**

(Solids separation/nitrification-denitrification/soluble phosphorus  
removal/solids processing system)

**YEAR 3  
PROGRESS REPORT**

**For the NC Attorney General—Smithfield Foods/Premium  
Standard Farms/Frontline Farmers Agreements**



Prepared by  
**Matias Vanotti, PI  
USDA-ARS**

**Project Title :** Evaluation of Environmental Superior Technology: Swine Waste Treatment System for Elimination of Lagoons, Reduced Environmental Impact, and Improved Water Quality. (Solids separation/nitrification-denitrification/soluble phosphorus removal/solids processing system)

**USDA Agreement 58-6657-2-202/NCSU Subcontract #2001-0478-02**  
**USDA ARS Project #6657-13630-001-05**

**Principal Investigator, e-mail and address :**

Dr. Matias B. Vanotti, [vanotti@florence.ars.usda.gov](mailto:vanotti@florence.ars.usda.gov)  
USDA-ARS Coastal Plains Research Center,  
2611 W. Lucas St., Florence, SC 29501  
Telephone: 843-669-5203 x108

**Co-PI's and address:**

Drs. Patrick Hunt<sup>1</sup>, Ariel Szogi<sup>1</sup>, Frank Humenik<sup>2</sup>, and Patricia Millner<sup>3</sup>  
**QA Manager :** Mrs. Aprel Ellison<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>USDA-ARS Coastal Plains Research Center, Florence, SC.

<sup>2</sup>NCSU, Waste Management Programs, Raleigh, NC.

<sup>3</sup>USDA-ARS, Sustainable Systems Laboratory and Environmental Microbial Safety Laboratory, Beltsville, MD.

**Technology Provider: Super Soil Systems USA, Inc**

Mr. Lewis M. Fetterman, [supersoil@intrstar.net](mailto:supersoil@intrstar.net)  
President and CEO, Super Soil Systems USA, Inc.  
484 Hickory Grove Rd, Clinton, NC 28328  
Telephone 910-564-5545

Dr. C. Ray Campbell, [ercampb@bellsouth.net](mailto:ercampb@bellsouth.net)  
Vice President Res. & Development and Project Coordinator  
Telephone 919-851-5751

**Cooperating Sub-Contractors**

Solids-liquid separation (Ecopurin Solids Separation Module) and engineering:  
SELCON Network, M.C., Castellon, Spain  
Mr. Jesus Martinez Almela, General Manager, [jmtnezalmela@selco.net](mailto:jmtnezalmela@selco.net)

Water quality sampling and laboratory analysis: [Managel@makayama.co.jp](mailto:Managel@makayama.co.jp)



Development of International Markets for Environmentally Friendly Pork Meat and Trading :

Mitsui & Co. USA, Inc, Chicago, Illinois

Mr. Shigenao Sasaki, General Manager, S. Sasaki@a-xm.mitsui.co.jp

**Technology Description:**

Waste Stream from Barns → Homogenization Tank → Solids Separation with Polymer →

(Liquid Phase) Nitrification/Denitrification → Clean Water Storage → Recycle to Barns →  
Excess Treated Water to Phosphorus Removal Module (Marketable Product) → Crop Irrigation.

(Solid Phase) Composting → Curing → Screening → Blending → Marketable Products  
(Organic Fertilizer, Soil Amendment, and Soilless Media).

**Duration Dates :** 10/01/2001–06/30/2002, extended to 12/31/2003

**Dates Covered for Progress Report:** 10/25/2002–7/3/2003

**Objectives of Current Reporting Period:**

Report activities related to demonstration of Environmentally Superior Technology that include the initial treatment performance of the full-scale waste treatment system at Goshen Ridge farm (start-up to steady-state), and activities related to construction and installation of the Solids Processing facility.

**Accomplishments for Current Reporting Period:**

**1. Permitting and Agreements**

- All necessary agreements and State permits for the new treatment facilities at Goshen Ridge Farm and Hickory Grove Rd. Farm have been completed. Details were provided in previous report (July 25–Oct. 24, 2002).

**2. Solids Processing Facility (Hickory Grove)**


- Construction of the Solids Processing Facility is well advanced.

Installation of the Solids Processing Facility is well advanced and is expected to be completed by the end of the reporting period.

- Automated composting machinery will be installed and be operational before Aug. 15, 2003. Additional concrete pad (130x40ft) is being constructed July 2003 to optimize the new machinery.

### 3. Production Farm Treatment Facility (Goshen Ridge)

- Background: Systems of treatment technologies are needed that capture nutrients, reduce emissions of ammonia and nuisance odors, and kill harmful pathogens. A system of swine wastewater treatment technologies was developed to accomplish many of the tasks listed above. The system greatly increases the efficiency of liquid/solid separation by injection of polymer to increase solids flocculation. Nitrogen management to reduce ammonia emissions is accomplished by passing the liquid through a module where immobilized bacteria transform nitrogen. Subsequent alkaline treatment of the wastewater in a phosphorus module precipitates calcium phosphate and kills pathogens. Treated wastewater is recycled to clean hog houses and for crop irrigation. The system has been piloted and is going through full-scale demonstration and verification as part of the Smithfield Foods-Premium Standard Farms/North Carolina Attorney General agreement to identify technologies that can replace current lagoons with Environmentally Superior Technology. If the full-scale demonstration proves to be successful, the technology can be used in new systems where the lagoon is omitted.
- The full-scale demonstration facility was installed in Goshen Ridge, a 4,400-head finishing farm in Duplin County, NC. The on-farm system uses polymer liquid-solid separation, nitrification/denitrification, and soluble phosphorus removal modules. The system was constructed by Super Soil Systems USA of Clinton, NC. The total system is completed with the centralized solid processing facility (section 2 above) at Super Soil Systems USA headquarters in Sampson County, NC, where separated manure solids will go through aerobic composting and blending processes to produce value-added products to include organic fertilizer, soil amendments, and proprietary soilless media for use in horticultural markets.
- Construction and installation of the treatment facility at Goshen Ridge farm started in February 2002 and was complete in October 2002. Construction details were provided in previous report (July 25-Oct. 24, 2002). The following diagram illustrates the treatment system installed:



### 3.1 Sample collection and analytical methods

- Liquid samples were collected using four refrigerated automated (Sigma 900 max) samplers placed before and after each of the treatment modules as follows: 1) the untreated liquid manure in the mixing tank before solids separation, 2) the effluent from the solid-liquid separation treatment, 3) the effluent after the nitrification-denitrification treatment, and 4) the effluent after the phosphorus removal treatment. Each sample was the composite of four sub-samples taken over a 3.5 day period. Except during the first five weeks of evaluation of the separation module when sub-samples were taken by sampler twice a day (3 am and 3 pm) and composited daily to evaluate mixing of the homogenization tank. After TSS analyses, these samples were combined in the laboratory into two weekly samples for the other water quality determinations. For the separated solids, we collected one sample from each trailer leaving the farm. Samples of lagoon supernatant liquid were obtained monthly from each of the three lagoons in the farm; a sample was collected by combining eight sub-samples taken around a lagoon. Once a week, liquid and solid samples were transported on ice to the ARS Florence laboratory for analysis. After moisture determination, the solid samples from individual trailers were combined into two weekly samples for chemical analyses.
- Wastewater analyses were performed according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, AWWA & WEF, 1998). Solids analyses of the treated and untreated liquid samples included total solids (TS), total suspended solids (TSS), and volatile suspended solids (VSS). Total solids are the solids remaining after evaporation of a sample to constant weight at 105°C and include TSS and dissolved solids (DS). Total suspended solids (TSS) are the solids portion retained on a glass microfiber filter (Whatman grade 934-AH, Whatman, Inc., Clifton, N.J.) after filtration and drying to constant weight at 105°C, while volatile suspended solids (VSS) is the fraction of the TSS that was lost on ignition in a muffle furnace at 500°C for 15 min. Therefore, the TSS and VSS are measurements of the insoluble total and volatile solids that are removable by separation.
- Chemical analyses consisted of pH, electrical conductivity (EC), chemical oxygen demand (COD), 5-d biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), ammonia-N (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), total Kjeldahl N (TKN), orthophosphate-P (PO<sub>4</sub>), and total P (TP). For COD, we used the closed reflux, colorimetric method (Standard Method 5220 D). The orthophosphate (PO<sub>4</sub>-P) fraction was determined by the automated ascorbic acid method (Standard Method 4500-PF) after filtration through a 0.45-μm membrane filter (Gelman type Supor-450, Pall Corp., Ann Arbor, Mich.). The same filtrate was used to measure NH<sub>4</sub>-N by the automated phenate method (Standard Method 4500-NH<sub>3</sub>G), NO<sub>3</sub>-N by the automated cadmium reduction method (Standard Method 4500-NO<sub>3</sub>F), and soluble COD. Particulate COD is the difference between COD and soluble COD. Total P and TKN were determined using the ascorbic acid method and the phenate method, respectively, adapted to digested extracts (Technicon Instruments Corp., 1977). The organic P fraction is the difference between total P and PO<sub>4</sub> analyses and includes condensed and organically bound phosphates. The organic N fraction is the difference between Kjeldahl N and ammonia-N determinations. Alkalinity was determined by acid titration to the bromocresol green endpoint (pH 4.5) and expressed as mg CaCO<sub>3</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>. Microelements were measured in acid extracts using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis. Solid samples were analyzed for moisture content using a microwave moisture analyzer. Dry samples were digested with concentrated acid (Gallaher et al., 1976) and the extracts were analyzed for TKN and TP with the automated method described before.

### 3.2 Ecopurin Solid-Liquid Separation Module

Commercially prepared polyacrylamides were found to be effective. The solids in the treatment facility are separated from the liquid with the Ecopurin separation module

developed by a Spanish company, Selco MC. The module is contained in a separation building. It is fully automated through the use of a programmable logic controller (PLC) for 24 hr/day operation. Treatment parameters such as polymer rate, wastewater flow and mixing intensity are set by the operator using a tactile screen in the control panel. In the main module, the liquid manure is reacted with polymer and separated with a self-cleaning rotating screen. Subsequently, a dissolved air flotation unit (DAF) polishes the liquid effluent while a small filter press dewater the solids. The dewatered solids fall in a 120 ft<sup>3</sup> trailer and transported daily to the central processing plant. These separated liquid is discharged into a small concrete pit where it is continuously pumped into the biological N removal module for further treatment.

- During the first 49 days of evaluation (March 5–April 23, 2003), the separation module operated at a flow rate of 2.5 m<sup>3</sup>/h. Although this rate is half the design capacity of the separation module (5 m<sup>3</sup>/h), the amount of raw manure was insufficient for a 7 days per week operation and the flow rate was further reduced to 2 m<sup>3</sup>/h during the remainder of the evaluation. This was important in order to provide continuous flow 7 days a week to the biological module and optimize the total system. Polymer addition rate was set constant at 120 ppm except during 1.5 weeks at the beginning of June when the rate was increased to 180 ppm to optimize press dewatering with the very high solid concentration manure (>1.5% TSS) obtained at the end of the production cycle.




Figure 1. TSS In and TSS Out during first five weeks of evaluation.

Figure 2. TSS In and TSS Out during first five weeks of evaluation. 24-hr sample taken at 3 am and 3 pm.

- Data in Fig. 1 show the TSS separation efficiency of module during first 5-wk of evaluation when samples were taken daily to confirm that liquid manure in homogenization tank was well mixed between the two weekly flushes. Separation efficiency was consistently high with an average of 94% TSS separation. This high-separation efficiency was maintained during the 4-month evaluation period summarized in this report (Table 1 and Figure 2).




Figure 2: Total suspended solids (TSS) concentration in liquid swine manure before and after solid-liquid separation treatment during the first four months of evaluation. 3.5-d composite sample evaluation.

- Treatment performance of these separation module for a variety of water quality parameters is summarized in Table 1. The process separated most the suspended solids, and oxygen demanding compounds and organic nutrients associated with these solids. Reduction of suspended organic compounds is an important consideration for the efficiency of the nitrification treatment, while capture of carbon and organic nutrients is important for the efficiency of the solids processing operation. Soluble ammonia and phosphate concentration changed little (< 7 and 17% reduction, respectively) with separation treatment. On the other hand, organic N and P were effectively captured in the solids resulting in an average reduction of 95% and 99%, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the separation efficiency of the polymer addition process. The results indicate that both the copper and zinc were trapped by the polymer and efficiently removed (> 95%) from the liquid phase using the solid-liquid separation module (Table 1).

**Table 1: Removal of solids, nutrient, COD and heavy metal compounds from liquid swine manure by solid-liquid separation module (Ecopurin process). Data are means of the first four months of evaluation (March-June 2003, n=38).**

Water Quality Parameter	Raw Liquid Swine Manure (mg/L)	Liquid After Solids Separation Treatment (mg/L)	Efficiency (%)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	11,614	735	94
Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS)	7,842	571	93
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	21,283	6,598	69
Particulate COD	14,577	1,857	87
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	1,901	1,243	35
Organic Nitrogen	677	100	85
Total Phosphorus	612	184	70
Organic Phosphorus	445	46	90
Copper	25.5	1.0	96
Zinc	26.4	1.3	95
Iron	90.0	4.9	95


- A total of 85 trailers containing 265 m<sup>3</sup> of separated solids were produced and left Goshen farm during the initial 4-month evaluation period (4/8-6/26). This amount of manure weighed approximately 294,700 kg (451,300 lb) and contained 18.4% ( $\pm 1.1\%$ ) of solids (81.6% moisture), 1605 kg of nitrogen, 1051 kg of phosphorus, 87 kg of copper and 83 kg of zinc (Table 2).

**Table 2: Amount and composition of solids produced from separation treatment (Ecopurin process). Concentration values are on dry manure basis. Data are means and totals obtained March-June 2003.**

Element	Average Concentration (%)	Min-max Concentration (%)	Total produced (kg)
Total Nitrogen	4.26	3.49-4.94	1605
Total Phosphorus	2.79	2.46-3.37	1051
Copper	0.22	0.15-0.3	87


### 3.3 Biogreen N Removal Module

- Background:** Once the solids are removed, a relatively smaller amount of suspended organic waste remains to be treated in the wastewater by the nitrification/denitrification. The liquid contains significant amounts of soluble ammonia and phosphorus. The demonstration project uses a Biogreen process (Hitachi Plant Engineering & Construction Co., Tokyo, Japan) that biologically removes the ammonia-N. The process has a pre-denitrification configuration where nitrified wastewater is sent through a denitrification cycle to remove most (>80%) of the nitrate using the soluble carbon (COD) contained in the manure after separation. A unique feature of the process is that the concentration of bacterial biomass in the nitrification tank is increased by using nitrifying bacteria encapsulated in polymer gel pellets. These pellets are permeable to ammonia and oxygen needed by the nitrifiers and are kept inside the tank by means of a screen structure. The reaction tank at Goshen contains  $12\text{ m}^3$  of the nitrifying pellets. There is a second denitrification tank built into the system where methanol can be injected for reducing the remainder nitrate in the effluent. This feature was not used during the first 3-months of operations summarized in this report in order to evaluate denitrification when effluent is recycled to recharge the pits under the houses. The following diagram illustrates the biological N removal module installed:



- Once the proper mixers and recirculation equipment were in place, it took about four weeks for the nitrifying bacteria to be fully acclimated to the high-strength swine wastewater (Figure 3). Acclimation process was carried out in a stepwise procedure where flow loads were increased from 25% of the flow being processed by these separation module to 100% (full-scale). Ammonia concentration in the effluent was monitored daily during this acclimation period using quick tests that were confirmed in the laboratory on a weekly basis. Pellets were sampled every week to conduct nitrification and respiration activity tests done in bench reactors also in the laboratory. For design purposes, pellets

Figure 3: Nitrification activity of pellets during acclimation to swine wastewater



- Ammonia removal efficiencies of Biogreen process were consistently high (>99%) during both the first month acclimation period and the subsequent two month evaluation included in this report (April-June). These high process efficiencies were obtained with influent concentrations varying from about 500 to 1500 ppm and effluent concentration < 10 ppm throughout the evaluation period (Fig. 4). A decrease in N concentration was observed in June coinciding with changes in batches of pigs in the production houses (50 lb pigs replacing market 250 lb hogs).
- After solids separation, most of the TKN was made of soluble ammonia and therefore removal efficiencies for TKN were also high (98%). The treatment also significantly reduced alkalinity, volatile solids, BOD and COD concentrations in the liquid effluent (table 3). Reduced manure carbon compounds were consumed mostly in the first denitrification tank and used as an electron donor in the denitrification process. In the average, 96% of the soluble COD and 86% of the soluble BOD that were removed by treatment were consumed in this tank (data not shown). Methanol was not injected into the second denitrification tank and gas scrubbing component. Nitrogen was 2.1 lb per 100

lb of manure. The gas scrubbing component was used to remove ammonia and effectively remove a significant mass of N (about 32 kg / week) through denitrification and that the liquid contained zero nitrate after this loop. Starting July 15, 2003, methanol will be



added to the second denitrification tank to test the Biogreen module as originally designed and be able to provide recommendations on best system options for specific situations and environmental goals.

Figure 4: Treatment of nitrogen in biological N removal module




Table 3: Removal of nitrogen and oxygen demanding compounds from separated liquid swine manure by biological N removal module (Biogreen process). Data are averages of the first three months of evaluation (April-June 2003, n=26).

Water Quality Parameter	Liquid After Solids Separation Treatment (mg/L)	Liquid After Biological N Treatment (mg/L)	Efficiency (%)
Alkalinity	5,561	636	89
Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS)	530	72	86
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	6,355	865	86
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> )	3,020	170	94
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH <sub>4</sub> -N)	1,182	7	99

### 3.4 Soluble Phosphorus Removal Module

- Background:** After biological N treatment, the liquid flows by gravity to the phosphorus separation module developed by USDA-ARS where P is recovered as calcium phosphate and pathogens are destroyed by alkaline pH. Figure 6 shows a schematic diagram of the phosphorus separation module and figure 7 shows a detailed picture of the technology installed at Goshen Ridge farm. Liquid is mixed with hydrated lime in a reaction chamber. A pH controller is linked to the lime injector and keeps the process pH at 10.5-11.0. The liquid and precipitate are separated in a settling tank. The precipitated calcium phosphates sludge is further dewatered in filter bags with a capacity of about 50 l each. Polymer is added to the precipitate to enhance P separation. Automation to the system is provided by sensors integrated to a programmable logic controller (PLC) 24 hr/day operation. The PLC is shared with the biological N removal module; treatment parameters such as process pH are set by the operator using a touch screen in the plant control panel.




Figure 6. Schematic diagram of phosphorus separation module constructed in the full-scale manure treatment system demonstration project at Goshen Ridge farm, Duplin County, NC.

- Evaluation of the phosphorus module started April 15, 2003, after the preceding units in the treatment train were both in steady-state. Results of this evaluation for the phosphorus module alone are summarized in Figure 8 and Table 4. Run controls for the

bag sand filter values determination were started but measurements are still in progress.





Figure 7. Phosphorus separation reactor installed on a hog farm in Duplin County, NC. Storage tank (1) in background holds wastewater from which ammonium nitrogen and carbonate buffers have already been removed. This wastewater gravity flows to reaction chamber (2) along with a slurry of water and hydrated lime suspended in mixing chamber (3). More lime slurry is stored in a container (4) until needed. Liquid flows from the reaction chamber (2) into cone-shaped settling tank (5). There, phosphorus sludges settle to the bottom (6) and is later removed, filtered, and dried in filter bags. Cleaned wastewater flows from top of settling tank via the white pipe (7) and is delivered to sump (8). An underground pipe carries cleaned wastewater to nearby subsurface irrigation system for crops.

Table 4: Removal of phosphorus from liquid swine manure after biological N treatment (ARS process). Data are averages of the first 2.5 months of evaluation (April 15-July 1, 2003, n=21).

Water Quality Parameter	Liquid After Biological N Treatment	Liquid After Phosphorus Treatment	Efficiency (%)
pH	7.59	10.72	--
Alkalinity, mg/L	615	879	--
BOD <sub>5</sub> , mg/L	16	7	56

Source: Johnston et al. 2004, p. 10.



Figure 8: Removal of soluble phosphorus in P-module.  $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$  concentration values shown in left axis and process pH indicated in right axis.



### 3.5 System Performance

- System performance data was obtained during a relatively short period (April 15-July 1, 2003) when all three modules were in-line (Table 5). The data show the unique contribution of each component to the efficiency of the total system. Overall, the demonstration system at full-scale performed to design expectations or better with respect to nutrient and heavy metal elimination.
- The system has been successfully stabilized and brought to steady state during this reporting period. It was observed also that, as operators become familiar with the





similarity in product management

- Significant differences in water quality characteristics among lagoons were observed starting in 2003 after manure flush to lagoon #1 was halted and 100% of the manure generated was processed through the treatment plant. Treated liquid during this period was discharged into the lagoon. These differences include lower concentration of ammonia, TKN, COD, VSS and conductivity in the lagoon that was replaced by the treatment plant compared with values observed in the control lagoons. Ammonia concentration at the end of this reporting was the lowest (146 ppm). Evolution during the second half of year 2003 will provide a better understanding on lagoon cleanup when systems without lagoon are retrofitted to existing operations that use anaerobic lagoon technology.

#### 4. Other Activities During Reporting Period

##### 4.1 Testing and Research

- Oct. 2002-Feb. 2003. Tests and analytical work done at ARS Florencelaboratory to support polymer optimization and calibration of these separation module equipment.
- Jan-June, 2003. Nitrification activity and respiration activity of pellets were retested weekly in reactors at ARS Florencelaboratory to support acclimation and stabilization of Biogreen module. A cold winter simulation experiment using a chilled continuous flow bench reactor was initiated May 2003.
- Feb.-June, 2003. Dr. Vanotti, Mrs. April Ellison and supporting staff visited Goshen treatment system every week to conduct system evaluations.
- April-May, 2003. Denitrification and respiration activity of denitrifying biomass were tested in reactors at ARS Florencelaboratory to support technology provider with acclimation and stabilization of Biogreen module.
- April 9, 2003. ASCADA (supervisory control and data acquisition) network developed by Selco MC was successfully installed at Goshen plant to assist in technology evaluation and process monitoring from remote location.
- April-June 2003. The original Biogreen pilot unit (1 m<sup>3</sup>/day) used to develop the full-scale system was installed at Goshen facility. Experiments conducted by Drs. Sumino and Vanotti were restarted to evaluate feasibility of increasing ammonia treatment capacity of demonstration unit and second generation modifications needed to process waste from 8800 pigs (two farms).
- April 21-May 2, 2003. OPENTeam conducted warm weather evaluation of emissions (odor, pathogens and nitrogen) in Goshen treatment system.
- June 10-24, 2003. Set-up and planting of irrigation plots in former spray field adjacent to treatment plant modified into a subsurface drip irrigation (SDI) system to use the treated

liquid for irrigation. D. L. N. 17-1-03

• The OPENTeam conducted maintenance of the Biogreen module. Maintenance for the complete Goshen treatment system

#### 4.2 Visits/tours/presentations/press

- Sept. 24, 2002. A group of about 30 scientists and representatives of ARS, NRCS, and EPA toured the Goshen system and Solids Processing facility as part of the Animal Waste Treatment Technologies Workshop, ARS National Program #206 'Manure and By-product Utilization'.
- Nov. 24, 2002. Project featured in cover page article "Modern Agriculture: Technology helps farmers" in The Fayetteville Observer.
- Jan. 16, 2003. Tour of Goshen treatment system by National Pork Board's Environmental Committee.
- Jan. 23, 2003. IMC representatives visited Goshen project to inspect calcium phosphate production module.
- Jan. 24, 2003. Phosphorus removal module technology announced in News Release 0025.03 by Agriculture Secretary Ann Veneman. [www.ars.usda.gov/is/pr/2003/030124.htm](http://www.ars.usda.gov/is/pr/2003/030124.htm)
- April 1, 2003. Environmental Management Solutions (EMS) directors visited treatment system at Goshen and Solids Processing facility.
- Engineers Miriam Lorenzo and Jorge Barrera, and Deputy General Manager Mr. Jesus Martinez Almela of Selco MC, Spain worked at Goshen site during November 2002, and February and April 2003 to optimize separation module and overview project engineering.
- April-June, 2003. Drs. Osman, Sumino and Emory of Hitachi Plant, Japan were at Goshen site during three months to overview start-up and stabilization of Biogreen module.
- June 16, 2003. John Deere representatives toured Goshen treatment plant.
- June 24, 2003. Dr. Vanotti assisted Mr. Ken Ellzey of Dep. Communications Services, NCSU, videotaping the treatment plant for production of a Virtual Tour to be shown at the NCSU Waste Management Workshop Oct. 16-17, 2003.
- June 24, 2003. Dr. Vanotti presented a project update at 4<sup>th</sup> National Workshop on Constructed Wetlands BMPs for Nutrient Reduction and Coastal Water Protection, Wilmington, NC, sponsored by EPA and NCSU. A paper was distributed.
- June 25, 2003. Drs. Vanotti, Szogi and Hunt (ARS) met at NCSU with Dr. Williams, Hitachi Plant engineers (Drs. Emory and Osman), and Super Soil Systems USA representatives (Mr. Fetterman, Dr. Campbell, and Mrs. Kim McLawhorn) to discuss Biogreen N removal module progress and second generation systems.

#### Project Delays or Difficulties Experienced for Current Reporting Period \_\_\_\_\_:

During the start-up of the Biogreen N removal module, the separation and Biogreen N removal processes were rapidly stabilized thereafter. This contributed to a project delay of about 90 days.



- Operation of phosphorus module was halted in late May-early June after the pc circuit controlling the nitrogen and phosphorus modules was damaged. The circuit was replaced and reprogrammed quickly (<48 hrs) but a change in calibration in pH sensor resulted in about two week down-time. A routine was established afterwards for weekly probe maintenance and calibration.

#### **Objectives and Concise Work-plan/Timeline for Subsequent Project Duration:**

##### **1. Objectives:**

- To demonstrate and provide critical performance evaluation of the Swine Manure Treatment System and Solids Processing Technologies in Proposal #001 Project Award, NC Attorney General/Smithfield Foods & Premium Standard Farm Agreements, to determine if the technology meets the criteria of Environmental Superior Technology defined in section II.C.1.5 of the Agreement. Specifically, performance standards related to the elimination of discharge into waters and elimination of nutrient and heavy metal contamination of soil and groundwater.
- To use process information to support demonstration project and improve operation of the full-scale system.


##### **2. Work-plan/Timeline:**

- Quality of compost products will be evaluated Sept.-Oct. when the first composting and solids product batches are available.
- Sampling evaluation and monitoring of the wastewater treatment system will be extended to October 31, 2003 to include the second OPEN team evaluation (Cool weather) scheduled for the weeks of October 20 and October 27, 2003.
- Mass balances of nutrient will be completed November 2003. Information will be provided to Economic team for their modeling analysis.
- Verification reporting to Designee is expected Dec., 2003.


Appendix: Project Pictures




Treatment system at Goshen Ridge farm.




Solid-liquid separation building




Homogenization tank



Mixer with rail used in homogenization tank






Phosphorus module control screen




Automated refrigerated sampler used for evaluation



Solids processing building built in Sampson County




Composting bins at solids processing facility.




Stratification treatment tank at Goshen Ridge facility



Water reclamation and dewatering plant at Goshen Ridge



Solid-liquid separation reactor.




DAF and dewatering filter press in separation module.



Separated liquid, liquid before DAF, and raw manure




Separated solids after filter press




Nitrification tank.



Nitrifying pellets.



Phosphorus separation reactor.



Dewatering and bagging of calcium phosphate product.



Phosphorus precipitate and treated effluent.



Dewatering of phosphorus with filter bag.